

# Traditional Water Management Systems: An Overview of Ahar-pyne System in South Bihar Plains of India and Need for Its Revival

**Amitabh Pandey and Swati Singh**

Indian Institute of Forest Management, Bhopal  
Email : apandey@iifm.ac.in & amitabhpandey67@gmail.com

## Introduction

Water is an important element and it is associated with social, economic and cultural aspect of human life in many ways. The utilization of water at micro level is very important for social and livelihood requirement of rural society. Each community incorporates water resource into its cultural pattern in its own way (Pandey, 2006). The Hindu Survey of Indian Agriculture, 2002, observed that micro irrigation method is not merely an irrigation technology; it is an integrated management tool in the hands of the farmer.

According to Agarwal *et al.*, 2001, over the last one hundred years or so, the world and India, too, have seen two major shifts in water management. One is that state has taken role of individuals and communities of water management. The second is that the simple technology of using rainwater has declined and at its place exploitation of rivers and groundwater through dams and tube wells has become the key source of water. As water in rivers and aquifers is only a small portion of the total rainwater, there is inevitable and growing stress on use of water from rivers and groundwater.

History says that traditional water harvesting systems have met domestic and irrigation needs of the people. Traditional systems have evolved as specific responses to ecology and culture of the people. Not only

they stood the test of time but also they have satisfied certain local needs in an environment friendly manner. These systems emphasize ecological conservation in contrast to environmental overuse of modern systems. Traditional systems have benefitted from collective human experience since time immemorial and in that lies their biggest strength. It has also helped in maintaining aquatic biodiversity in local space.

India is an agricultural based economy where 80% of the cultivation is rainfed. Despite of several irrigation projects only less than 50% of the runoff water that is use for various purposes including irrigation could be addressed. There is water crisis today. But the crisis is not having too little water to satisfy our needs. It is a crisis of managing water so badly that millions of people-and the environment suffer badly.

## About Ahar-pyne System

Ahar-Pyne is a traditional floodwater harvesting system which is indigenous to South-Bihar. The meaning of Ahar as found from the local people is to hold water ("Aa" means to come and "Har" means to capture). The physical features of Bihar divides it into three regions-north Bihar plain, the south Bihar plain and the Bihar plateau, also known as the Chotanagpur Plateau. In South-Bihar, the slope is roughly at the rate of 1 m per km and using this terrain, an Ahar was built by erecting

an embankment of one meter or two in height. An Ahar resembled a rectangular catchment basin with embankments on three sides. Ahars were sometimes built at the end of small rivulets or artificial channels called Pynes to ensure the supply of water. Pynes were channels constructed to utilize water flowing through hilly rivers intersecting the country. In South Bihar, rivers are generally dry for most of the year but swell during the monsoon season. Given the slope and the sandy soil, the water is either carried away rapidly or it percolates down through the sand. Hence, a system of Pynes was usually developed to lead off water from these rivers to agricultural fields. Some of the biggest Pynes, which were 20-30 km long, fed a number of distributaries and irrigated over 100 villages. Ahars and Pynes were collectively used by farmers and they had to synchronize their operations. Pant (2004) posited that Ahar-pyne is historically the most important source of irrigation in South Bihar and even today provides a shining example of participatory irrigation management.

Apart from the irrigation facilities, there is another utility of the system which has rarely been studied. Being a region situated in between the Chotanagpur Plateau and the Gangetic valley, south Bihar is very prone to floods. But the abundance of storage works like Ahars and large scale dispersion of torrential floodwater into pynes minimized the rush and speed of floodwaters passing through south Bihar. Some of the small rivers of south Bihar could never reach any of the main rivers like the Ganga or the Punpun because their water was completely dispersed through several pynes. Ahars and Pynes were extensively used in Gaya district. The Irrigation Commission of 1901-03 noted that these systems irrigated about 6,76,113 Ha. The Flood Advisory Committee of Gaya district in 1949 found that the large scale occurrence of flood in the district is because of the deterioration of the traditional system of irrigation.

### Present status of Ahar- pyne System

Ahar-pyne system of indigenous irrigation is historically the most important source of irrigation in South Bihar and even today provides a shining example of participatory irrigation management. Ahars, with sides that are more than a km. long, irrigating more than 400 ha are not rare, though smaller ones are more

common. However, the average area irrigated per ahar during the early twentieth century was said to be 57.12 ha (Sengupta 1993). According to O'Malley (1919), this indigenous system is the outcome of the natural conditions and physical configuration of the country, and has been evolved to meet the obstacles which they place in the way of cultivation. However, with the passage of time, the collective institutions of management of the ahar-pyne system have declined. Area irrigated by Ahar-pynes is on the decline, accounting for only about 12% of the total irrigated area in Bihar (Table 1).

**Table 1.** Area irrigated by Ahar-pyne system

Year	Area Irrigated (mha)	Region Covered
1930	0.94	South Bihar
1971	0.64	South Bihar
1976	0.55	South Bihar
1997	0.53	Whole of Bihar

Source: Pant (2004)

The Ahar-pyne system of irrigation was overwhelmingly more important in South Bihar, where it was irrigating about 35% of 2.5 mha of cropped land during the first two decades of twentieth century. Compared to it, the irrigation in North Bihar was a mere 3% of 3 mha cropped area (Pant, 2004). During this period, of the 0.98 mha area irrigated by Ahar-pyne, 0.88 mha area was irrigated in South Bihar, while only 0.1 mha was irrigated in North Bihar. The area irrigated by this indigenous source has witnessed a constant decline. The extent of decline can be gauged by the fact from 0.94 mha in 1930s in South Bihar, the area declined to 0.64 mha in 1971 and to 0.55 mha by 1975-76. As per the Government figures, the area irrigated by ahar-pyne system in whole of Bihar came down to about 0.53 mha constituting about 12% of all irrigated sources in the year 1997 compared to about 18% in South and North Bihar alone during the first two decades of twentieth Century.

### Reasons for success of Ahar-pyne system in the past

The farmers indigenous knowledge of utilisation of water for irrigating their paddy fields was based on great

understanding of the local topography, flow of water and positioning of the fields. The major factors that led to the traditional ahar-pyne being so much prevalent in the region are enumerated as below:

1. **Fragmented land holdings and equity in water distribution:** An interesting pattern noticeable in each of the Ahar-pyne areas and in general the whole of South Bihar and adjoining areas is that the land-holdings of the farmers in general are small, fragmented and scattered. As a result, every landholder in the command of a pyne had some land at the head, some in the middle and some at the tail of the irrigation channel. So all farmers have their plots both in advantageous as well as disadvantageous locations - head, middle and tail. Therefore, to optimize their irrigation, they would have to take active participation in all kinds of situations. To safeguard the interest of their tail-end farm, they would work with others so that the water reaches at the tail also. In this way, Ahar-pynes seem to overcome the problem of head reach/tailender conflicts that are a common feature of irrigated commands of major and medium projects. Ahar-pynes ensured equitable distribution of irrigation water in the command (Sengupta, 1985). Further, several irrigation commands get benefit from the same ahar or pyne and several ahars may get water from the same pyne. Since cultivators have unconsolidated holdings, they are not left with any choice other than to work collectively for a common good.
2. **Cheap Source of Irrigation:** In the past, Ahar-pyne used to be the cheapest and easiest source of irrigation in the region which only needed a collective effort from the villagers. Although presently, ground water through diesel based borings and electric motors are available but the cost of irrigation is very high compared to the Ahar-pyne system. In case of Ahar-pyne, all major repairs are done by the government and farmers do not have to pay any water charges. Hence, cultivators do not mind working collectively for small maintenance or to meet emergencies like breach in pyne or embankment etc.
3. **Uniformity in Cropping:** All farmers grow the same crop (paddy) all over the irrigation command

around the same dates. As a result, agricultural operations undertaken by all cultivators are similar throughout the irrigation command. Such uniformity of operations is essential when cultivators are utilizing the same irrigation channel. Since Ahars and pynes have to be used collectively, all farmers have to synchronize their operations. In such a scheme of things, there is no scope for crop diversity in the same irrigation command. Uniform cropping also facilitates collective action when irrigation system is in the danger of non-functioning (Pant, 2004).

4. **Collective action:** Communal action for irrigation operation and maintenance referred to as goam consists of large groups. Ahar-pynes have been constructed by the extraordinary concerted effort of the human beings against the oddities of nature. Although in South Bihar also, like rest of India, a rigid caste hierarchy obtains, this does not deter different caste groups, including scheduled castes to come together for a common good and a common concern. All cultivators, who take water from the same pyne or the same ahar, irrespective of the location of their villages and irrespective of their castes, come together for collective action whenever their irrigation is affected or is likely to be affected. According to Pant (2004), cultivators had their vested interests to participate actively in collective actions. This was particularly true in respect of goam to meet the emergencies such as breaches in embankments, diversion in river and pyne routes etc. Hundreds and even thousands of people still come forward for goam even today in South Bihar.

## Institutional and management issues

Such a large system of irrigation which would sometime be spread over many villages could not have existed with a strong institutional mechanisms and proper management. Although no written rules existed in most of the cases but there were certain issues that were dealt upon by the people in their own indigenous manner.

1. **Equity in allocation and distribution of water**  
The Ahar-pyne system had well worked-out institutional mechanisms for sharing of water

between farmers. Synchronization of the agricultural operations over the year was achieved by earmarking each 14-day period on the lunar cycle for each agricultural operation (Table 2). Buchanan (1939) noted that landlords appointed proper persons to divide the water among the tenantry. According to O'Malley (1919), the parabandi System was used to distribute water among the villages from a common source (usually a pyne). Parabandi derived from the term para (turn) and bandi (fixation) meant fixation of turn. Each village had its fixed turns of so many days and hours to avail the water. These turns were assigned by mutual agreements or ancient customs. A detailed register called lal bahi (red register) maintained in some systems specified the irrigation rights of each village. Usually parabandi arrangements began in the month of Aswin (mid-September), when the demand was acute and supply limited. At other times, all branches of pynes were left open (CSE, 1997). The reliability and timeliness of ahar irrigation is ensured because water is stored in the reservoir and is utilized when pynes do not have any water left and rains are not forthcoming. This is the likely scenario during the hathia period, when water is critically needed by paddy (Pant, 2004).

**Table 2.** Timing of agricultural operations in ahar-pyne system

	Period	Operation
1	June 20 to July 5	Seed Bed Sowing
2	July 18 to August 15	Transplanting
3	September 12 to September 25	Field water drained out
4	September 26 to October 7	Fields filled again
5	October 8 to October 20	Standing water in fields
6	October 21 to November 3	Field water drained out
7	November 4 to November 15	Harvesting

Source: Aggarwal and Narain (1997)

Equity in water allocation was not a granted right but it was in-built in the system. The total landholding of each individual in a command was highly fragmented. In consequence, every major landholder who could influence the allocation had interests both at the head and the tail regions of the distributary (Sengupta 1993). If water available is not sufficient and does not reach the tail end, a part of the command area remains unirrigated, but everyone suffers. Pynes feed several ahars and several distributaries originate from each Ahar. The primary level irrigation organizations correspond to the irrigators benefiting from a distributary (ayacut). The peculiar land holding pattern as that every cultivator owns a fragment of upper, middle and lower levels of ayacut have also been noted for irrigation systems in Sri Lanka (Leach, 1961) and Philippines (Siy, 1982). In addition they also were also close to neighbors though they did not belong to a single caste. The crop growing in the ayacut area is paddy, the same for every cultivator. Thus, all of them require irrigation at approximately the same time. Because of the characteristic distribution of the plots no one is deprived of water. Once the fair allocation of water is assured individual cultivators do not lack motivation to join in community works for irrigation (goam). However the system is not entirely free of disputes. One village often tries to get more water than it should, or else when rainfall is scarce, lower reach villages seek to get water before their proper turn.

## 2. Community participation and distribution of responsibilities

In the past community participation was extensive in traditional irrigation management. Community labour for repair, called kudimarammath in south India and goam in Bihar was an established custom. Ahar-pynes work, particularly the one relating to maintenance and overseeing of water distribution was looked after by three functionaries. These were headman, Barahill (supervisor) and Gudait (watchman). A unique feature of Ahar-pyne management system in was that some posts were associated with particulars castes. For instance job of the watchman, the drum-call for goam (Collective physical action) used to be made by beating of drums and the drum beatings used to be



done by dafalis (Pant, 2004). Some of these indigenous irrigation systems (pynes) were so large that their water conveyance system ran over 30 kms, covering hundreds of villages and irrigating thousands of acres of land. Since the construction of such irrigation works required huge capital investment, only big landlords could do it. In fact, sometimes it required the cooperation of two or more landlords. In such occasions, each co-operating landlord used to appoint his team of officers to look after his interest on the negotiating table during the construction phase. Usually the cost involved in the construction of pyne was much higher than the one involved in constructing Ahars. The construction of pyne, particularly the large ones, involved excavation of pyne running several kms. In addition, it also involved construction of dams across the river to divert the water to the pyne. Large pyne were mostly constructed several years ago when larger areas were under the control of the single zamindars (landlords) and their authority to enforce their orders and wishes was more absolute (O'Malley 1919).

### 3. Repair and maintenance

The repair and upkeep of the most ahar and its water conveyance system is of two types. The one involves major repairs and the other deals with the minor routine upkeep to make the system work. The responsibility of Ahar-pyne construction as well as major repairs was of landlords (Buchanan, 1939; O'Malley, 1919). The amount spent by the estate was later realised from the farmers under the Gilandazi (improvement of irrigation works). Today, minor repairs are not done by the farmers and the repairs are done by the Minor Irrigation Department. In the past, farmers had to pay for the repairs as well as for the irrigation, while today they do not pay for any of these two things. The routine upkeep work involves cleaning and desilting of Ahar and pyne and maintaining the water conveyance network, while the system is in operation. As a result, ordinary maintenance such as the periodic clearance of silt, the repair of small branches of the Ahars and field channels is done by the cultivators themselves under goam system and it starts before the onset of monsoon. Apart from the routine activities, an important task is to keep constant vigil, particularly during monsoon against sudden

damage of protective works which may occur due to natural cause or due to man-made reasons. The operational works include cutting and closing embankments for diversion, erection of bandhs or garandis across the pyne, opening and closing of outlets and at times even resorting to manual water lifts to irrigate uplands. Goam was and still is very effective in meeting the emergencies. The call for goam was made by beating of drums. Goam occurs even today every year in hundreds of villages of South Bihar.

### 4. Central control

Steward (1949) and Wittfogel (1957) opine that irrigation management requires a high degree of discipline and that in turn implied central control and an all-powerful bureaucracy. In the Ahar-pyne of South Bihar, it is found that a centralised authority in the form of the landlord played an important role in respect of construction of Ahar-pyne, their major repairs and allocation of water to different villages. However, landlords did not play any role in determining the mechanism relating to how water was distributed among different individuals in each micro irrigation command and how they maintained the micro water conveyance structures. Further, Buchanan (1939) mentions that there existed some indigenous irrigation works in South Bihar which were constructed and maintained by tenants and that the landlords had no claims of rents against such works. Even where findings do indicate a centralised management in certain matters, it is difficult to assume that high level of participation of cultivators in the irrigation management was a natural consequence of the centralised authority (Pant, 2004).

### 5. Collective choice arrangement for Ahar management

The Ahar system has been managed collectively by the villagers, as the terrain acted as driving force. This system could only facilitate establishment of irrigation system, due to its physiological and climatic factor. The nature of agriculture practiced needed a regular supply of water for rice cultivation and Ahar system collectively provide the solution. Therefore, the collective choice arrangement for improving the livelihood resulted in managing Ahar as common pool resources.



## Reasons for decline

As discussed earlier, Ahar-pyne systems were the lifeline of the farmers cultivating Paddy in this region. However, there has been a gradual decline in the area irrigated by the ahars because of the following reasons:

### 1. Abolition of the Zamindari system

One of the reasons cited for the decline of Ahar-pynes is the abolition of the Zamindari system. Zamindars (Land-lords) regularly organized maintenance and desilting of Ahar-pynes before independence. Till the abolition of Zamindari system the Zamindars used to maintain these systems because they had the capital resources and had a vested interest in doing so. Tenants were required to pay Gilandazi (improvement of irrigation works) charges. Gilandazi is an excellent form of investment as the capital spent on it returns a dividend of 40 to 50 percent in the first year itself, in some cases 100 percent if the landlord even received only half of the produce of the land irrigated by these works, they would get a very good return on their capital outlay (O'Malley 1919). After the zamindari abolition there are no regular budgeted funds for the repair of these systems.

### 2. Development of new Irrigation sources

Development of new Irrigation sources, notably canals and tubewells leading to easy availability of water made people lose interest in Ahar-pynes, which needed community effort for upkeep and maintenance. Many indigenous works were directly suppressed by extension of modern methods. This has been aided by high doses of government subsidies in case of private tubewells. Even in 1970-71, the area irrigated by tubewells in Bihar was about 17%, this reached above 48% in 1994-95 (Government of Bihar 1972 and 1997). There are such examples from UP and Punjab where shrinkage in traditional well irrigation took place from the extension of canals and the modern groundwater exploitation techniques. Pandey

(1979) also reported how traditional ahar-pyne irrigation was suppressed in many different villages by introduction of canal irrigation project in that area.

### 3. Lack of convergence between old systems and new schemes

Non-integration of the indigenous systems in the new diversion schemes undertaken by the Irrigation Department was also a major reason for the decline. Many authors have noted that the irrigation departments did not have adequate understanding of the value of this system. Hence, often the new irrigation schemes were at variance with the existing ahar-pyne system and no attempt was made to integrate the two. At present, some initiative is restrained because of expectation of assistance from external agencies like the Government and the reduced interest of those who have acquired pumpsets.

### 4. Lack of centralized authority

Literature suggests that centralized authority in the form of the landlord did play an important role in respect of construction of ahar-pynes, their major repairs and allocation of water to different villages. Today, there is no coercive authority of the landlord or any one to force them to contribute community labour for irrigation management which has led to lack of interest of people.

### 5. Roads on pynes and Ahars

Pynes as already been mentioned is the artificial channels that connect one Ahar with the other or Ahar with the river system. It was designed in such manner so that inundated water can be collected from one Ahar to another without mere wastage of precious water. It was in the year 1989, Jawaharlal Rojgar Yojna (JRY) was started under the leadership of our then Prime Minister Late Mr. Rajeev Gandhi. Though it was a very noble step to connect each and every village of the country with roads for their development but on the other hand it ruined the traditional system of irrigation. Most of the pynes have been converted into roads.

<sup>1</sup> During the British period all cultivated lands belonged to Zamindars (feudal landlords) who paid a fixed revenue to the British Government. After independence in 1952 this system was abolished and the land was distributed among the erstwhile tenants

## 6. Heavysiltation

Heavy siltation is one of the major cause of declination of Ahar-pyne. Silt of around 6 to 7 ft has been accumulated in the catchment areas that have reduced the storage capacity of Ahars. Aftermath of siltation is that water gets dry very early and not enough water is left for paddy cultivation. Moreover, siltation has given another cause for the declination of Ahars as most of the silted Ahars beds have been converted into agriculture field.

## 7. Conversion of Ahars into agriculture field

Previously, water was collected in Ahars in the month of July – August at the time of heavy rain. Bhao or outlet remained closed at that time because water for irrigation was fulfilled from monsoon. In September outlet was opened and closed according to the requirement. After Hathiya nakshtra (after October) excess water was drained to grow Rabi crops in the Ahar bed. But today mindset of people has changed and most of the Ahars have been converted into agriculture field and water is drained before October.

## 8. Small Landholdings

After the Abolition of Zamindari System lands were given to landless people and even Ahars were divided. Today in one Ahar there is land of 10-15 or even more farmers. Small landholdings also limit the average production.

## 9. Heading towards commercial farming

Farmers have become more commercial in nature. They now take garlic cultivation on large scale because it is more revenue fetching. Farmers having lands in Ahars drain water in September to grow garlic, ginger and many other commercial crops/cash crops. This practice has not only caused the decline of Ahars but has reduced the average production of paddy from 15 quintals per acre to 5 quintals per acre. This has also increased fallow land.

## 10. Dependence on Government schemes

In spite of going for the revival of Ahars and other traditional system of irrigation people are asking for well or check dam or ponds from the government. Government schemes are such that

they always go for new structures but never look into the existing structures. Because of this people have lost interest in the traditional system.

## 11. Social problem

Social stratification of village has affected the collective action, which was earlier provided under the leadership of zamindars.

## 12. Increase in population

Rise in population is also contributing towards its decline though indirectly as this is one of the reasons for small landholdings. Conflict for property is a common phenomenon every where and due to this reason big land is divided into small chunks.

## 13. Deforestation

Forest resources are dwindling very fast. Deforestation has scaled up the rate of siltation which is the direct cause of decline of Ahars.

## 14. Middlemen intervention

Apart from the above reasons, the local contractors and middlemen also discourage the local farmers from doing collective efforts towards repairing and maintenance of the system. They also mislead the farmers that such activities need to be done through the Government so that they can make own monetary gains. There is lot of ignorance among the poor farmers and usually the upper class people take benefit of that.

## Need for going back to indigenous systems

Even if the area irrigated by traditional methods is on a decline and it has been mostly replaced by various modern techniques of surface and groundwater harvesting systems, it is essential that we revive the old systems. The major reasons for the same are furnished below:

### 1. Delays in major and medium irrigation projects

Pant (1982) reported that the technical evaluation cell of Planning Commission approved 529 projects (106 major and 423 medium) between 1971 and 1981,







with an original outlay of Rs.6820 crore. The cost of all these schemes, according to latest estimates, compiled by ministry of irrigation has gone up by a staggering Rs. 3828 crore to Rs. 10648 crore. This indicated an overall increase of 56.13 per cent during the last 10 years. Statistics also reveal that 60 schemes, including four major ones, were completed in nine states, bringing the success ratio to only 11.34 per cent. One of the major reasons for the inadequate growth of the irrigation sector is the long time that it takes to commission major and medium irrigation projects. Delays in completion and increases in project costs of major and medium irrigation projects is a cause for concern. Instead of pumping in huge amount of money in schemes that are marred by technical, political and social glitches, it is time that we need to look into the already practiced systems at the grassroot level and focus on providing technical and monetary support to the already existing indigenous water management measures and that are much more suited for that particular place. For an example, there is enough literature to support that ahar-pyne system of irrigation was instrumental in saving all of Gaya district from the ravages of famine and drought. It is worth highlighting that through the 1866 famine of Orissa, the Bihar famine of 1873-74 and during the famine of 1886-87, Gaya district required practically no relief. Apart from irrigation, another useful purpose served by ahar-pyne system is to minimize the floods. Writing in the context of the then Gaya district, the collector (1947-49) observed that as long as these minor irrigation works were kept in a reasonable state of repair, floods in lower regions were well under control (Roy Choudhry, 1957). In 1949, a Flood Advisory Committee investigating continuous floods in Bihar's Gaya district came to the conclusion that "the fundamental reason for recurrence of floods was the destruction of the old irrigational system in the district."

## 2. Easy maintenance, cost and quality

The cost of ahar-pyne maintenance is quite low compared to canal maintenance which comes to about Rs. 5000 per ha. In case of Ahar-pyne, it varies between Rs. 500 to Rs. 1000/ ha, depending on the extent to which goam is utilized. Further, the

quality of construction is quite good because those who get engaged in the repairs are themselves the beneficiaries. Further, in some of the repairs the material used is the one which is locally and easily available. Pant (2004) noted that use of mozar which is obtained by mixing the wet mud with paddy straw quite effective in the repairs of embankment, including in raising its height. According to Pant (2004), as today's per ha cost of irrigation comes to about Rs. 80,000 and 46% of the total annual precipitation of 350 mm in India is lost to the sea as river flow, the rejuvenation, development, and integration of Ahar-pynes system with new diversion schemes present wide scope. The reason being, it mainly involves mobilisation of local material and man power resources with very little financial requirement (about Rs. 1000 per ha). This is especially important at present times when financial crunch surrounds most states from all sides and participatory irrigation management is the rhetoric quick-fix.

## 3. Sustainability in the long run

The sustainability of Ahar-pyne system can be judged by the fact that these modes of irrigation are in existence for centuries. All the ahar-pyne systems that exist today are at least nearly hundred years old. The main reason of the sustainability of these indigenous systems is that the advantages emanating from them are two fold. First, these systems utilize water which otherwise would be wasted. Second, these systems, particularly in the past, saved the plains of South Bihar from the recurrent floods which otherwise would have devastated the countryside regularly. Lastly, if these indigenous systems are properly integrated with the recent canal irrigation schemes, the sustainability of both types of irrigation systems will enhance manifold (Pant, 2004). Proper utilisation of natural resources requires proficient consideration in many different aspects related with it. The real difference between the so-called modern and traditional methods is that the former, with an independent start, need gradual attainment of the proficiency, while the latter must have perfected those over centuries, otherwise those would not have survived. Detailed knowledge of traditional water resource management method therefore, may not only help



in better formulation of new development projects but to hasten the gradual rectification process which most of the existing problem projects are facing at present (Sengupta, 1985).

## Attempts for revival

Some villages in Bihar have taken up the initiative to re-build and re-use the system. One such village is Dihra. It is a small village 28 km southwest of Patna city. In 1995, some village youths realised that they could impound the waters of the Pachuhuan (a seasonal stream passing through the village that falls into the nearby river Punpun) and use its bed as a reservoir to meet the village's irrigation needs. Essentially, this meant creating an Ahar-pyne system. After many doubts, the village powers-that-be gave the go-ahead. Money was collected and work began in May 1995. After a month of shramdaan (voluntary labour) the villagers completed their work mid-June. Their efforts have borne fruit. By 2000 AD, the ahar was irrigating 80 ha of land. The people grow two cereal crops and one crop of vegetables every year. The returns from the sale of what they produce are good and the village is no longer a poor one. Even now community work of irrigation (goam) occurs every year in several villages of Bihar.

## Future strategy

Revival of this traditional irrigation system could be one of the major activities for livelihood security. Ahar-pyne system is based on a minute understanding of the topography so that even at such mild slopes, pynes carrying water over several hundreds of metres could be constructed. Pynes also diverted water from the streams over long distances, irrigating large areas. According to a report by Ministry of Rural development (MoRD, 2006) revival of this system and ensuring their proper maintenance through community action should be a major plank of watershed projects in South Bihar.

For revival of traditional water harvesting systems, the most critical thing which needs to be done is the integration between new and old schemes. In the decade of 1950's, particularly during the first and the second five year plans, a number of diversion schemes were undertaken in South Bihar. In most of the cases, the area brought under the command of these schemes had

very elaborate system of indigenous irrigation network through Ahars and pynes, particularly in the upper reaches. The planners realizing the valuable contribution of this indigenous system in subsidiary storage and water distribution; dovetailed it in their plan and thereby increased the capability of the run-of-the-river scheme on a rainfed river proposed to serve an area subject to fitful monsoon. They relied on the contribution of the existing ahars so much that they planned about two-third of the command was to be irrigated during the critical Hathia period through the Ahars which were to be filled up from canal networks by drawing maximum possible water during favourable period of river flow. However, the envisaged integration of Ahar-pynes with the new schemes could not be done in a large number of cases and this indigenous system was made to languish over time. A recent study shows that the number of ahars in the command of Upper Mohar Irrigation Project covering the districts of Gaya and Aurangabad had dwindled to 44 in post project period from 109 in pre-project period (Metaplanner 1994), consequently affecting the irrigation in an adverse manner. Had due attention been given to proper maintenance of these indigenous systems and integrated management of new canal networks and old Ahar-pynes was devised, all these new diversion schemes would have been grand success stories (Pant, 2004).

Presently, the possible avenues of repair and revival are: hard manual labour during drought period, NREGA (National Rural Employment Guarantee Act), some relief schemes, food for work programme and also Minor Irrigation department which can spend some planned funds in the name of renovation of these systems.

Knowledge of water management handed over from generation to generation is extensive. One way to use it is to undertake extensive studies by the experts and then reflect it in their works. The other is to channelise it through peoples' participation in the projects themselves (Sengupta, 1985). Collective action is essential for the repair and maintenance of the system. For that, Olson (1982) argues that collective action is likely to be more feasible (I) The smaller the groups, (II) the more homogenous the origin of the group (III), the longer the members of the group have been associated with one another or the group has been in existence, (IV) the

closer the social and physical proximity among group members, (V) the more differentiated (in a complementary way) the goals of different members of subgroups, (VI) the greater the sensitivity of the group to a threatened loss due to inaction and (VII) the more unequal the distribution of wealth and power among members.

Keeping in mind the points discussed above, it is essential that concrete measures are taken at the earliest as the rate at which the traditional systems are declining; it would not be long that they would be left only for academic and historical importance.

### Acknowledgement

We are thankful to Central Initiative India (CINI) Jamshedpur for providing fund support for this work.

## References

- Aggarwal, Anil and Sunita Narain (1997): Dying Wisdom: Rise, Fall and the Potential of India's Traditional Water Harvesting Systems, State of India's Environment A Citizen's Report No. 4, Centre for Science and Environment, New Delhi.
- Buchanan, Francis (1939). An Account of District of Bhagalpur in 1810-11, Bihar and Orissa Research Society, Patna.
- CSE (1997). Dying Wisdom: Rise, Fall and Potential of India's Traditional Harvesting Systems - State of India's Environment: A citizens' Report (4th), Centre For Science and Environment, New Delhi, pp. 87
- Government of Bihar (1972). Season and Crop Report (table-III A), 1970-71, Patna.
- Government of Bihar (1997). Directorate of Statistics and Evaluation, In: Pant (2004)
- Leach, E.R. (1961). Pul Eliya: A village in Ceylon, Cambridge.
- Metaplanner (1990). Metaplanner and Management Consultant. Report of Post Facto Study of Upper Morhar Irrigation Project, Bihar: XII.
- MoRD (2006). Report of the Technical Committee From Hariyali to Neeranchal Report of the Technical Committee on Watershed Programmes in India Department of Land Resources Ministry of Rural Development Government of India, January 2006
- Olson, Mancur (1982). The Rise and Decline of Nations. Yale University Press, New Haven.
- O'Malley, L.S.S (1919). Bengal District Gazetteers - Gaya. Superintendent, Government Printing, Bihar and Orissa, Calcutta, pp.146-147
- Pandey, M.P. (1979). Impact of irrigation on rural development, Concept, New Delhi.
- Pandey, Amitabh (2006). Ethnography of Participatory Irrigation Management in Vidisha District of Madhya Pradesh, IIFM, Bhopal.
- Pant, Nirajan (1982). Major and medium irrigation projects: Analysis of cost escalation and delay in completion. Economic and Political Weekly. June issue, pp. 34-43
- Pant, Nirajan (2004). Indigenous Irrigation in South Bihar: A Case of Congruence of Boundaries, <http://www.indiana.edu/~iascp/Final/pant.pdf>
- Roy Choudhry, P.C. (1957). Bihar District Gazetteers: Gaya, Government of Bihar, Patna, pp. 205
- Sengupta, Nirmal (1985). Irrigation: Traditional vs Modern. Economic and Political Weekly, Vol. XX, No. 45- 47, pp. 1919-1938
- Sengupta, Nirmal (1993). Land records and irrigation rights. In Land reforms in India, Volume 1, Bihar-institutional constraints, eds. B. N. Yugandhar and K. Gopal Iyer. New Delhi: Sage Publications
- Siy, R.Y. (1982). Community resources management: Lessons from Zanjera, University of Phillipines Press, Quizon city.
- Steward, Julian H (1949). Cultural Casualty and Law, American Anthropologist, Vol.51, pp.1-27.
- Wittfogel, Karl (1957). Oriental Despotism: A comparative Study of Total Power, New Haven, Yale University Press.